



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,827	11/14/2003	Mike Ashdown	26349.64	2571	
27683	7590 01/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			SMITH, CREIGHTON H		
DALLAS, TX	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2645	_	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/713,82	7	ASHDOWN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Creighton		2645				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External effer - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the property of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no ever ply within the statul d will apply and will ute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims			·				
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from con						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)[\square objected to by the \square	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
3) 🛭 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 er No(s)/Mail Date	98)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6668051. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application are disclosed in the claims of the '051 patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton h

Smith at telephone number 308-2488.

21.01.05

Creighton h Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2645

lastitor Smit